Bul. Ştiinţ. Univ. Baia Mare, Ser. B, Matematică-Informatică, Vol. XVIII(2002), Nr. 1, 39 - 52

Dedicated to Costică MUSTĂŢA on his 60<sup>th</sup> anniversary

# STRONGLY $\delta$ -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS AND TOPOLOGIES ON FUNCTION SPACES

#### S. GANGULY and Krishnendu DUTTA

Abstract. In this paper we study the function space of strongly δ-continuous functions and have generalised some basic results of R. Arens and J. Dugundji. MSC: 54C35, 54C10

**Keywords :** Strongly  $\delta$ -splitting , Strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining.

#### Introduction

The concept of 'proper' and 'admissible' topology in function space were first introduced by Arens and Dugundji in [1]. These terminologies subsequently changed to 'splitting' and 'conjoining' respectively. In [4] we introduced the notion of strongly  $\delta$ -continuous function and the concepts of  $\delta$ -splitting and  $\delta$ -conjoining topology. Here we introduce strong  $\delta$ -splitting toplogy and strong  $\delta$ -conjoining topology and obtain some of its properties. We also introduce the notion of 'strong  $\delta^*$ ' by slightly changing the definition of 'strong  $\delta$ ' and try to find its behaviour in relation to the notion of 'strong  $\delta$ '. We also construct some examples of strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology and try to find the behaviour of N - R topology [3] on the set of  $\delta$ -continuous functions and also on the set of strong  $\delta$ -continuous functions. Lastly, we have defined the  $\delta$ -upper limit of a net and have investigated the relations between different types of convergence through it.

#### §1. Prerequisites, Definitions & Theorems

**Definition 1.1 [5] :** Let X be a topological space . A set S in X is said to be regular open (respectively regular closed) if Int.(cl.S) = S ( respectively Cl.(int.S) = S). A point  $x \in S$  is said to be a  $\delta$ -cluster point of S if  $S \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , for every regular open set U containing x. The set of all  $\delta$ -cluster points of S is called the  $\delta$ -closure of S and is denoted by  $[S]_{\delta}$ . If  $[S]_{\delta} = S$ , then S is said to be  $\delta$ -closed. The complement of a  $\delta$ -closed set is called a  $\delta$ -open set. For every topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the collection of all  $\delta$ -open sets forms a topology for X, which is weaker than  $\tau$ . This topology  $\tau^*$  has a base consisting of all regular open sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 1.2** [5] : A function  $f : X \to Y$  is called a  $\delta$ -continuous function iff for every regular open set V of Y,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\delta$ -open in X. This can be alternatively defined as follows : a function  $f : X \to Y$  is  $\delta$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  iff for every regular open nbd. V of f(x) in  $Y, \exists$  a  $\delta$ -open nbd. U of x such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ .

**Definition 1.3 [4]:** A function  $f: X \to Y$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  iff for any open nbd. V of f(x) in Y,  $\exists$  a  $\delta$ -open nbd. Uof x in X such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ ; instead of taking an arbitrary nbd. of f(x)we could take a sub-basic open set containing f(x) as well.

The set of all strongly  $\delta$ -continuous functions would be denoted by SD(X, Y), whereas D(X, Y) would denote the set of all  $\delta$ -continuous functions from X to Y.

Obviously every strongly  $\delta$ -continuous function is always continuous and the converse does also hold if X is regular.

**Definition 1.4** [2] : A set  $A \subset (X, \tau)$  is said to be *N*-closed in *X* or simply *N*-closed, if for any cover of *A* by  $\tau$ -open sets, there exists a finite sub-collection the interiors of the closures of which cover *A*; interiors and closures are of course w.r.t  $\tau$ . A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be nearly compact iff *X* is *N*-closed in *X*.

**Definition 1.5 [3] :** The N - R topology on D(X, Y) (or SD(X, Y)) is generated by the sets of the form

 $\{T(C, U) : C \text{ is } N \text{-closed in } X \text{ and } U \text{ regular open in } Y\},\$ 

where  $T(C, U) = \{ f \in D(X, Y) : f(C) \subseteq U \}.$ 

**Definition 1.6 [5] :** A net  $\{x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in  $(X, \tau)$  is said to  $\delta$ -converge to a point  $x \in X$  iff every regular open nbd. of x contains the net eventually ; we write  $x_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\delta} x$ .

**Theorem 1.7 [5].** A function  $f : X \to Y$  is  $\delta$ -continuous iff  $\{f(x_{\lambda})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  $\delta$ -converges to f(x) for each  $x \in X$  and for each net  $\{x_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$   $\delta$ -converging to x.

**Theorem 1.8** [4]. A net  $\{f_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  in D(X,Y) is said to be  $\delta$ -continuously convergent to  $f \in D(X,Y)$ , if for any net  $\{x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in X such that  $x_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\delta} x$ , the net  $f_{\mu}(x_{\lambda}) \xrightarrow{\delta} f(x)$ .

**Notation 1.9 :** By  $C_{\delta}$  we denote the class of all pairs  $(\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f)$ where  $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a net in D(X, Y) which  $\delta$ -continuously converges to  $f \in D(X, Y)$ . If  $\tau$  is a topology on D(X, Y) then by  $(C(\tau))_{\delta}$ , we denote the class of all pairs  $(\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f)$ , where  $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a net in D(X, Y) which  $\delta$ -converges to f in the  $\tau$ -topology. **Definition 1.10 [4]**; Given three spaces X, Y, Z a function  $\alpha(x, y) = z$  can be regarded as a map from  $X \times Y$  to Z or as a family of maps  $Y \to Z$  with X a parametric space.

For notation let  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  be  $\delta$ -continuous at  $y \in Y$  for each fixed  $x \in X$ , the formula  $[\tilde{\alpha}(x)](y) = \alpha(x, y) \cdots (1)$  defines  $\tilde{\alpha}(x) : Y \to Z$  which is  $\delta$ -continuous i.e.,  $\tilde{\alpha}(x) \in D(Y, Z)$ . So  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to D(Y, Z)$  is generated from the original mapping  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  as given.

Conversely given an  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to D(Y, Z)$ , the formula (1) defines an  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  which is  $\delta$ -continuous at  $y \in Y$  for each fixed  $x \in X$ . Two maps  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  and  $\tilde{\alpha} : x \to D(Y, Z)$  related by the formula (1) are called associates.

**Definition 1.11 :** A topology  $\tau$  on D(Y, Z) is called  $\delta$ -splitting iff for every space X the  $\delta$ -continuity of a map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  implies the  $\delta$ -continuity of the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to D(Y, Z)$ .

**Definition 1.12**: A topology  $\tau$  on D(Y, Z) is called  $\delta$ -conjoining iff for every space X the  $\delta$ -continuity of a map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to D(Y, Z)$  implies the  $\delta$ -continuity of the map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$ .

**Theorem 1.13.** A topology  $\tau$  on D(Y, Z) is  $\delta$ -conjoining iff the evaluation map  $P: D(Y, Z) \times Y \to Z$  defined by P(f, y) = f(y) is  $\delta$ -continuous.

**Proof**: It suffices to observe that  $\alpha = P \circ (\tilde{\alpha} \times 1)$ , where 1 is the identity function in Y. Moreover the evaluation function i.e. is the associate of the identity mapping on D(Y, Z).

**Theorem 1.14** [4]: A topology  $\tau$  on D(Y,Z) is  $\delta$ -splitting iff  $C_{\delta} \subseteq (C(\tau))_{\delta}$ 

**Theorem 1.15** [4] : A topology  $\tau$  on D(Y,Z) is  $\delta$ -conjoining iff  $(C(\tau))_{\delta} \subseteq C_{\delta}$ .

### §2. Strong $\delta$ -notions

We have already defined strong  $\delta$ -continuity of a function in 1.3.

**Definition 2.1 :** A net  $\{f_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  in SD(X, Y) is said to be strongly  $\delta$ -continuously convergent to  $f \in SD(X, Y)$ , iff for any net  $\{x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in X which  $\delta$ -converges to  $x \in X$ , we have the net  $\{f_{\mu}(x_{\lambda}) : (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M\}$  converging to f(x) in Y.

**Theorem 2.2** [4]. A function  $f : X \to Y$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous at a point  $x \in X$  iff for every net  $\{x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in X for which  $x_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\delta} x$ , we have  $f(x_{\lambda}) \to f(x)$  in Y.

**Notation 2.3 :** By  $C^*_{\delta}$  we denote the class of all pairs  $(\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f)$ where  $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a net in SD(Y, Z) which strongly  $\delta$ -continuously converges to  $f \in SD(Y, Z)$ . If  $\tau$  is a topology on SD(Y, Z) then by  $(C^*(\tau))_{\delta}$ , we denote the class of all pairs  $(\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f)$ , where  $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a net in SD(Y, Z) which converges to  $f \in SD(Y, Z)$  in the  $\tau$ -topology. **Definition 2.4 :** A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y, Z) is called strongly  $\delta$ -splitting iff for every space X the strong  $\delta$ -continuity of a map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  implies the strong  $\delta$ -continuity of the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y, Z)$ .

**Definition 2.5** : A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is called strongly  $\delta$ conjoining iff for every space X the strong  $\delta$ -continuity of a map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to$ SD(Y,Z) implies the strong  $\delta$ -continuity of the map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining iff the evaluation map  $P : SD(Y,Z) \times Y \to Z$  defined by P(f,y) = f(y) is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. The proof is straight forward in view of theorem 1.13 and with the fact that the composition of two strongly  $\delta$ -continuous function is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

**Lemma 2.7** [4]: If X and Y are topological spaces & A, B are N-closed sets in X and Y respectively. If W is a  $\delta$ -open set containing  $A \times B$  in the product space  $X \times Y$ , then there are  $\delta$ -open sets U & V respectively such that  $A \subset U$ ,  $B \subset V$ ,  $U \times V \subset W$ .

**Notation 2.8 :** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a family of spaces . A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is called strongly  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ -splitting (respectively strongly  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ -conjoining) iff for an element X of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the strong  $\delta$ -continuity of a map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  (respectively a map  $\tilde{\beta} : X \to SD(Y,Z)$ ) implies the strong  $\delta$ -continuity of the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y,Z)$  (respectively  $\beta : X \times Y \to Z$ )

Note 2.9 : If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the family of all spaces the notions of strongly  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ -splitting and strongly  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ -conjoining coincides with the notions of strongly  $\delta$ -splitting and strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining,

**Theorem 2.10.** A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting iff  $C^*_{\delta} \subseteq (C^*(\tau))_{\delta}$ .

**Proof**: Let  $\tau$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology on SD(Y,Z) and let  $(\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f) \in C^*_{\delta}$ . We prove that  $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  converges to f in the  $\tau$  topology.  $\Lambda$  is a directed set and let us add a point  $\infty$  to  $\Lambda$  such that  $\infty \not\in \Lambda$ ; to ascertain the natural order relations between  $\infty$  and members of  $\Lambda$ , let us take  $\infty \geq \lambda \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$ . We then topologize  $X = \Lambda \cup \{\infty\}$  defining any singleton  $\{\lambda\}, \lambda \in \Lambda$  to be open and nbds. of  $\infty$  the sets  $\{\lambda \in X : \lambda \geq \lambda_0 \text{ for some } \lambda_0 \in \Lambda\}$ . Let  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  be a map for which  $\alpha(\lambda, y) = f_{\lambda}(y), \lambda \neq \infty$  and  $\alpha(\infty, y) = f(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . The map  $\alpha$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Obviously  $\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda) = f_{\lambda}$  and  $\tilde{\alpha}(\infty) = f$ . Since the topology  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting , the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y, Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

By strong  $\delta$ -continuity of  $\tilde{\alpha}$ , we have that for every open nbd. U of f in SD(Y, Z), there exists a  $\delta$ -open nbd. V of  $\infty$  in X such that  $\tilde{\alpha}(V) \subseteq U$ .

By definition of the topology of  $X \exists$  an element  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\lambda \in V \ \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . Hence  $f_\lambda \in U \ \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  i.e., the net  $\{f_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  converges to f in the  $\tau$  topology. Thus  $C^*_{\delta} \subseteq (C^*(\tau))_{\delta}$ .

Conversely let  $\tau$  be a topology on SD(Y,Z) such that  $C^*_{\delta} \subseteq (C^*(\tau))_{\delta}$ . We have to prove that  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting. Let X be an aritrary space and let  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map. Consider the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y,Z)$  (SD(Y,Z) is endowed with the  $\tau$  topology ). We have to prove that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Let  $\{x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a net in Xwhich  $\delta$ -converges to x. We prove that the net  $\tilde{\alpha}(x_{\lambda})$  converges to  $\tilde{\alpha}(x)$ .

Let  $\{y_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  be a net in Y which  $\delta$ -converges to y in Y. Since the map  $\alpha$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous and the net  $\{(x_{\lambda}, y_{\mu}) : (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M\}$  of  $X \times Y$   $\delta$ -converges to (x, y) in  $X \times Y$ , we have  $\alpha(x_{\lambda}, y_{\mu}) \longrightarrow \alpha(x, y)$ . This means that  $\alpha_{x_{\lambda}}(y_{\mu}) \longrightarrow \alpha_{x}(y)$ . Thus the net  $\{\tilde{\alpha}(x_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  converges to  $\tilde{\alpha}(x)$ . Thus the map  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous and hence  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting.

**Theorem 2.11.** A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining if and only if  $(C^*(\tau))_{\delta} \subseteq C^*_{\delta}$ 

**Proof :** Let  $\tau$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining topology. Let X be the space as in the theorem of 2.10. Let  $(\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f) \in (C^*(\tau))_{\delta}$ . Clearly the map  $\alpha : X \to SD(Y, Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous where  $\alpha(\lambda) = f_{\lambda}$  and  $\alpha(\infty) = f$ . Then the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \times Y \to Z$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. We have to prove that  $(\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f) \in C^*_{\delta}$ . Then it is sufficient to prove that if  $\{y_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$  is a net in Y which  $\delta$ -converge to y in Y, then the net  $\{f_{\lambda}(y_{\mu}) : (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M\}$  converges to f(y). But the net  $\{\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ in X  $\delta$ -converges to  $\infty$  in X. Hence the net  $\{(\lambda, y_{\mu}) : (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M\}$ in  $X \times Y$   $\delta$ -converges to  $(\infty, y)$  in  $X \times Y$ . Since the map  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous , the net  $\{\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda, y_{\mu}) \equiv \alpha(\lambda)(y_{\mu}) \equiv f_{\lambda}(y_{\mu}), (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M\}$ converges to  $\tilde{\alpha}(\infty, y) \equiv f(y)$ .

Conversely, let  $\tau$  be a topology on SD(Y, Z) such that  $(C^*(\tau))_{\delta} \subseteq C^*_{\delta}$ .

We prove that the topology  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining. Let X be an arbitrary space and let  $\alpha : X \to SD(Y,Z)$  (SD(Y,Z) be endowed with the  $\tau$  topology ) be a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map. We prove that the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \times Y \to Z$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Let  $\{(x_{\lambda}, y_{\mu}) : (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M\}$  be a net in  $X \times Y$  which  $\delta$ -converge to (x, y). We prove that the net  $\{\tilde{\alpha}(x_{\lambda}, y_{\mu}) : (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M\}$  in Z converges to  $\tilde{\alpha}(x, y)$ .

Since the net  $\{x_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$   $\delta$ -converges to x in X and the map  $\alpha$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous, the net  $\{\alpha(x_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  converges to  $\alpha(x)$ . Thus by assumption the net  $\{\alpha(x_{\lambda}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  strongly  $\delta$ -continuously converges to  $\alpha(x)$ . Now since the net  $\{y_{\mu} : \mu \in M\}$   $\delta$ -converges to y, the net  $\{\alpha(x_{\lambda})(y_{\mu}) \equiv \tilde{\alpha}(x_{\lambda}, y_{\mu}) : (\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \times M\}$  converges to  $\alpha(x)(y) = \tilde{\alpha}(x, y)$ . Hence the topology  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining.

**Theorem 2.12.** A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is simultaneously strongly  $\delta$ -splitting and strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining iff  $C^*_{\delta} = (C^*(\tau))_{\delta}$ . The proof of this theorem follows from theorems 2.10 & 2.11.

**Theorem 2.13.** A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting iff is strongly  $\delta_A$ -splitting, where A is the family of all spaces having exactly one non-isolated point.

**Proof**: It is enough to prove that if  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ -splitting, where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the family of all spaces having exactly one non-isolated point, then the topology  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting.

Let  $(\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f) \in C^*_{\delta}$ . We have to prove that  $(\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  converges to f in the  $\tau$  topology.

Let  $X = \Lambda \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\infty$  is a symbol such that  $\infty \ge \lambda$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Then we topologize  $X = \Lambda \cup \{\infty\}$  by defining any singleton  $\{\lambda\}, \lambda \in \Lambda$  to be open and nbds. of  $\infty$  the sets  $\{\lambda \in X : \lambda \ge \lambda_0 \text{ for some } \lambda_0 \in \Lambda\}$ . Clearly the element  $\infty$  is the unique non-isolated point of the space X and thus  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We consider the map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  by setting  $\alpha(\lambda, y) = f_{\lambda}(y) \& \alpha(\infty, y) = f(y)$ . Obviously the map  $\alpha$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Now we prove that  $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  converges to f in the  $\tau$  topology.

Let  $U \in \tau$  be an open nbd. of f. Now the topology  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ splitting. Hence the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y, Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Also  $\tilde{\alpha}(\infty) = f \& \tilde{\alpha}(\lambda) = f_{\lambda}, \lambda \neq \infty$ . Thus  $\exists$  a  $\delta$ -open nbd. V of  $\infty$  such that  $\tilde{\alpha}(V) \subseteq U$ .

Since the set V is an  $\delta$ -open nbd. of  $\infty$  in  $X \exists$  an element  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  such that  $\lambda \in V, \forall \lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . Hence  $\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda) = f_{\lambda} \in U \forall \lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ . Thus the net  $\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda) = \{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  converges to f in the  $\tau$  topology and hence  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting.

**Theorem 2.14.** A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining iff is strongly  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}$ -conjoining, where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the family of all spaces having exactly one non-isolated point.

The proof is similar to theorem 2.13.

#### §3. Strong $\delta^*$ notions on function space

**Definition 3.1 :** A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y, Z) is called strongly  $\delta^*$ -splitting iff for every space X, the strong  $\delta$ -continuity of a map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  implies the  $\delta$ -continuity of the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y, Z)$ .

**Definition 3.2**: A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is called strongly  $\delta^*$ conjoining iff for every space X, the  $\delta$ -continuity of a map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y,Z)$ implies the strong  $\delta$ -continuity of the map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** The following propositions are true :

(1) Let  $\tau$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology on SD(Y,Z). Then the topology  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta^*$ -splitting.

(2) Let  $\tau$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining topology on SD(Y,Z). Then the topology  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta^*$ -conjoining.

The proof of the theorem is clear.

**Theorem 3.4.** A toplogy  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is strongly  $\delta^*$ -conjoining iff the evaluation map  $P: SD(Y,Z) \to Z$  defined by P(f,y) = f(y) is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

**Proof**: Clearly, the identity map  $\tilde{\alpha} \equiv 1 : SD(Y,Z) \to SD(Y,Z)$ , where SD(Y,Z) is endowed with  $\tau$  topology is  $\delta$ -continuous, since  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta^*$ -conjoining the map  $\alpha \equiv P : SD(Y,Z) \times Z$  is strongly  $\delta$ continuous.

Conversely, let X be as space , $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y,Z)$  be a  $\delta$ -continuous map and  $1: Y \to Y$  be the identity map. Cleaarly the map  $\tilde{\alpha} \times 1: X \times Y \to SD(Y,Z) \times Y$  is also  $\delta$ -continuous in the product space. Also it is given that the evaluation map  $P: SD(Y,Z) \times Y \to Z$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Then the composition map  $P \circ (\tilde{\alpha} \times 1): X \times Y \to Z$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous and  $\alpha = P \circ (\tilde{\alpha} \times 1)$ . Thus the topology  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ \*-conjoining.

#### §4. Examples of strongly $\delta$ -splitting topology

**Example 4.1:** The trivial topology on SD(Y, Z) is clearly strongly  $\delta$ -splitting.

**Example 4.2** : The pointwise topology  $\tau_p$  on SD(Y, Z) is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting.

Indeed, let X be any arbitrary space and let  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map. We have to show that  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y,Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Let  $x \in X$  and let  $\tilde{\alpha}(x) \in T(\{y\}, U)$ , where  $y \in Y$ and U be an open set of Z. Then we have  $\tilde{\alpha}(x)(y) = \alpha(x, y) \in U$ . Since  $\alpha$ is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous so  $\exists \delta$ -open nbds.  $W_1 \& W_2$  of x & y respectively such that  $\alpha(W_1 \times W_2) \subseteq U$ . Which implies that  $\tilde{\alpha}(W_1) \in T(\{y\}, U)$  and thus the map  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

**Lemma 4.3**: Let  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map, O be an open set of Z, K be a compact subset of Y and  $x \in X$  be such that  $\{x\} \times K \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(O)$ . Then  $\exists$  a  $\delta$ -open nbd.  $V_x$  of x such that  $V_x \times K \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(O)$ .

**Proof**: Let  $y \in K$ . Then  $(x, y) \in \alpha^{-1}(O)$  which implies  $\alpha(x, y) \in O$ . Since  $\alpha$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous so  $\exists$  a  $\delta$ -open nbd.  $V_x^y$  of x and an open nbd.  $V_y$  of y such that  $V_x^y \times Int.cl.(V_y) \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(O)$ . Also we have  $K \subseteq \bigcup\{V_y : y \in K\}$ . Since K is compact so  $\exists$  open sets  $V_{y_1}, \ldots, V_{y_k}$  such that  $K \subseteq V_{y_1} \cup \ldots \cup V_{y_k}$ .

 $K \subseteq V_{y_1} \cup \dots \cup V_{y_k}$ . Let  $V_x = V_x^{y_1} \cap V_x^{y_2} \cap \dots \cap V_x^{y_k} \& V'_y = V_{y_1} \cup \dots, \cup V_{y_k}$ . Then  $V_x$  is a  $\delta$ -open nbd. of x (since intersection of finite number of  $\delta$ -open set is  $\delta$ -open). We prove that  $V_x \times K \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(O)$ .

let  $(x_1, y_1) \in V_x \times K \subseteq V_x \times Int.cl.(V'_y)$ . Then  $x_1 \in V_x^{y_i}$  for all i = 1, 2, ..., k and  $y_1 \in V_{y_j}$  for some j = 1, 2, ..., k. Thus  $(x_1, y_1) \in V_x^{y_p} \times V_{y_p}$  for

 $1 \leq p \leq k$ . Which is a subset of  $\alpha^{-1}(O)$ . Hence  $V_x \times K \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(O)$ .

**Example 4.4 :** The compact open topology  $\tau_c$  on SD(Y, Z) is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting.

Let X be an arbitrary topological space and let  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map. We have to show that  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y, Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Let  $x \in X$  and let  $\tilde{\alpha}(x) \in T(K, U)$  where K is a compact subset of Y and U be an open set in Z. We prove that  $\exists \delta$ -open set W containing x in X such that  $\tilde{\alpha}(W) \subseteq T(K, U)$ . We have  $\{x\} \times K \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . By the above lemma 4.3  $\exists \delta$ -open nbd. W of x such that  $W \times K \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Thus  $\alpha(W \times K) \subseteq U$  and hence  $\tilde{\alpha}(W) \subseteq T(K, U)$ . Hence  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

**Example 4.5**: A topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y, Z) is generated by the sets of the form  $\{P(C, U) : C \text{ is a N-closed subset of } Y \& U \text{ be an open set in } Z\}$ where  $P(C, U) = \{f \in SD(Y, Z) : f(C) \subseteq U\}$ . This topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y, Z) is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting. To this end we first show that for a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$ , if U be an open set in Z and C be a N-closed subset of Y and  $x \in X$  be such that  $\{x\} \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ , then  $\exists$  a  $\delta$ -open nbd.  $V_x$  of x such that  $V_x \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ .

Indeed for every  $y \in C$ , we have  $(x, y) \in \alpha^{-1}(U)$  and therefore  $\alpha(x, y) \in U$ . Since  $\alpha$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous  $\exists \delta$ -open nbds.  $V_x^y \& V_y$  of x & y respectively such that  $V_x^y \times V_y \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Also we have  $C \subseteq \bigcup \{V_y : y \in C\}$ . Since C is N-closed,  $\exists \delta$ -open sets  $V_x, \dots, V_y$  such that  $C \subseteq V_x \cup \dots \cup V_y$ .

Since C is N-closed,  $\exists \delta$ -open sets  $V_{y_1}, ..., V_{y_n}$  such that  $C \subseteq V_{y_1} \cup ..., \cup V_{y_n}$ . Let  $V_x = V_x^{y_1} \cap ... \cap V_x^{y_n} \& V_y' = V_{y_1} \cup ... \cup V_{y_n}$ . We prove that  $V_x \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Let  $(x_1, y_1) \in V_x \times C \subseteq V_x \times V_y'$ . Then  $x_1 \in V_x^{y_i}$  for all i = 1, ..., n.  $\& y_1 \in V_{y_j}$  for some j = 1, ..., n. Thus  $(x_1, y_1) \in V_x \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Thus  $V_x \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ .

Now we prove that  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting. Let X be any arbitrary space and let  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map. We have to show that the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y, Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Let  $x \in X$ and let  $\tilde{\alpha}(x) \in P(C, U)$ , where C is N-closed set in Y and U an open set in Z. We have  $\{x\} \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Then what we have just proved above  $\exists a \delta$ -open nbd. W of x such that  $W \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Thus  $\alpha(W \times C) \subseteq U$ and so  $\tilde{\alpha}(W) \subseteq P(C, U)$ . Thus the map  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

**Remarks 4.6 :** All examples that we have discussed above remain valid for the case of strongly  $\delta^*$ -notions.

#### §5. Splittingness & conjoiningness of N-R-Topology

One natural question may come up, is there exists any topology on SD(Y, Z) which is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting as well as strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining.

**Theorem 5.1.** The N-R topology on SD(Y,Z) is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting.

**Proof**: The N-R topology  $\tau$  on SD(Y,Z) is generated by the sets of the form  $T(C,U) = \{f \in SD(Y,Z) : f(C) \subseteq U\}$ , where C is a N-closed subset in Y and U be regular open in Z. Since regular open sets are  $\delta$ -open so in the subbasic open set of the N-R topology we can take U to be a  $\delta$ -open subset of Z.

For this we first prove that for a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$ , if U be an  $\delta$ -open set in Z and C be a N-closed subset of Y and  $x \in X$  be such that  $\{x\} \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ , then  $\exists$  a  $\delta$ -open nbd.  $V_x$  of x such that  $V_x \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ .

Now for every  $y \in C$ , we have  $(x, y) \in \alpha^{-1}(U)$  and hence  $\alpha(x, y) \in U$ . Since  $\alpha$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous  $\exists \delta$ -open nbds.  $V_x^y \& V_y$  of x & y respectively such that  $V_x^y \times V_y \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ , since  $\delta$ -open sets are open sets. Also we have  $C \subseteq \bigcup \{V_y : y \in C\}$ . Since C is N-closed,  $\exists \delta$ -open sets  $V_{y_1}, ..., V_{y_n}$  such that  $C \subseteq V_{y_1} \cup, ..., \cup V_{y_n}$ .

Vy<sub>1</sub>,...,  $V_{y_n}$  such that  $C \subseteq V_{y_1} \cup ..., \cup V_{y_n}$ . Let  $V_x = V_x^{y_1} \cap ... \cap V_x^{y_n} \& V_y' = V_{y_1} \cup ... \cup V_{y_n}$ . We prove that  $V_x \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Let  $(x_1, y_1) \in V_x \times C \subseteq V_x \times V_y'$ . Then  $x_1 \in V_x^{y_i}$  for all i = 1, ..., n.  $\& y_1 \in V_{y_j}$  for some j = 1, ..., n. Thus  $(x_1, y_1) \in V_x \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Thus  $V_x \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ .

Next we show that the N-R topology is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting. Let X be any arbitrary space and let  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map. We have to show that the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y, Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous . Let  $x \in X$  and let  $\tilde{\alpha}(x) \in T(C, U)$ , where C is N-closed set in Y and U a  $\delta$ -open set in Z. We have  $\{x\} \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Then by above  $\exists a \delta$ -open nbd. W of x such that  $W \times C \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(U)$ . Thus  $\alpha(W \times C) \subseteq U$  and so  $\tilde{\alpha}(W) \subseteq T(C, U)$ . Thus the map  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

**Theorem 5.2.** On the set SD(Y, Z) there exists the greatest strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology.

**Proof**: Let  $\{T_{\alpha}\}$  be the set of all strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topologies on the set SD(Y,Z). Let  $\tau$  be the topology having the members of  $\cup_{\alpha} T_{\alpha}$  as subbasis. We prove that  $\tau$  is the greatest strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology. Then it is enough to prove that  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology. Let Xbe any arbitrary space and let  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous map. We have to show that the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y,Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ continuous.  $(SD(Y,Z) \text{ is endowed with the } \tau \text{ topology})$ . Since any subbasic open set  $U \in \tau$  belongs to some strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology  $T_{\alpha}$ , we must have  $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(U)$  is  $\delta$ -open in X and hence  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y,Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

**Theorem 5.3.** a) A topology larger than a strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining topology is also strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining.

**b**) A topology smaller than a strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology is also strongly

#### $\delta$ -splitting.

**Proof**: Let  $\tau$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining topology and  $\tau \subset \sigma$ . Since the identity map  $1: SD_{\sigma}(Y, Z) \to SD_{\tau}(Y, Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous and the strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining property of  $\tau$  gives that the map  $P: SD_{\tau}(Y, Z) \times Y \to Z$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. So we get  $SD_{\sigma}(Y, Z) \times Y \to Z$  is also strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Thus  $\sigma$  is strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining. The proof of (b) is similar.

**Theorem 5.4.** Any strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining topology is larger than any strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology.

**Proof**: Let  $\tau$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining and  $\sigma$  be a strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology on SD(Y,Z). Then for any arbitrary space X, the strong  $\delta$ -cotinuity of the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD_{\tau}(Y,Z)$  implies  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous ( as  $\tau$  is strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining ) which implies  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD_{\sigma}(Y,Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous ( as  $\sigma$  is strongly  $\delta$ -splitting). Thus we find that  $1 : SD_{\tau}(Y,Z) \to SD_{\sigma}(Y,Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Which shows that  $\sigma \subset \tau$ .

**Theorem 5.5.** On the set SD(Y, Z), the N-R topology is the smallest strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining topology if Y is locally nearly compact  $T_2 \ \mathcal{E} \ Z$  is semiregular.

**Proof**: First we show that the N-R topology is strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining. Any sub-basic open set of the N-R topology on SD(Y, Z) is

$$T(C,U) = \{ f \in SD(Y,Z) : f(C) \subseteq U \}$$

where C is a N-closed set in Y & U regular open in Z.

Let X be an arbitrary topological space and it is given that the map  $\tilde{\alpha} : X \to SD(Y, Z)$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. We have to show that  $\alpha : X \times Y \to Z$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

Let V be an sub-basic open set in Z. Let  $y \in Y$  and P' be a regular open nbd. of y in Y. Since Y is locally nearly compact  $T_2$  so  $\exists$  an open set M containing y such that  $\overline{M} \subset P'$  with  $\overline{M}$  N-closed. Then  $T(\overline{M}, V)$ is a sub-basic open set in N-R topology on SD(Y, Z). Since  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous so there exists a regular open set W in X such that  $\tilde{\alpha}(W) \subset$  $T(\overline{M}, V)$ . Then for any  $x \in W$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}(x) \in T(\overline{M}, V) \Rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}(x)(y) \in V($  as  $y \in$  $\overline{M}) \Rightarrow \alpha(x, y) \in V$ . Thus  $\alpha(W \times \overline{M}) \subset V$ . So for any sub-basic open set V of Z,  $\exists$  a regular open nbd.  $W \times \overline{M}$  of (x, y) in the product space  $X \times Y$ such that  $\alpha(W \times \overline{M}) \subset V$ . Hence  $\alpha$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

Now we show that it is the smallest among all the strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining topology that can be given on SD(Y, Z).

Let  $\sigma$  be a topology on SD(Y, Z) which is strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining. We show that T(C, U) is  $\sigma$ -open in order to show that the N-R topology is the smallest one. Now in view of theorem 2.6 the map  $P: SD(Y, Z) \times Y \to Z$ defined by P(f, y) = f(y) is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous. Then the set V' =  $(SD(Y,Z) \times Y) \cap P^{-1}(U)$  is  $\delta$ -open in  $SD(Y,Z) \times Y$ . If  $f \in T(C,U)$  then  $f(C) \subset U$  i.e.,  $\{f\} \times C \subset P^{-1}(U)$  i.e.,  $\{f\} \times C \subset V'$ . Now  $\{f\}$  is N-closed in SD(Y,Z) & C is so in Y so by lemma 2.7,  $\exists \delta$ -open sets N of f in  $\sigma$ -topology such that  $N \times C \subset P^{-1}(U)$ . So for each  $f \in N$ ,  $f(C) \subset U \Rightarrow N \subset T(C,U)$  and so  $f \in N \subset T(C,U)$ . Thus T(C,U) is  $\sigma$ -open. As a partial converse of Theorem 5.5 we can now state and prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.6.** Let X be a non-regular  $T_2$  topological space in which for every  $\delta$ -open set U and a point  $p \in U$ ,  $\exists$  a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous function  $f: X \to [0,1]$  such that  $f(p) = \{1\} \& f(X \setminus U) = \{0\}$ ; if SD(X, [0,1])be endowed with N-R topology  $\Im$  then X must be locally nearly compact if  $P: SD(X, [0,1]) \times X \to [0,1]$  is strongly  $\delta$ -continuous.

**Proof**: Let  $F: X \to [0,1]$  be defined by  $F(x) = 0 \ \forall x \in X$ . Then obviously  $F \in SD(X, [0,1])$ . Let  $W_0$  be a nbd. of 0 in [0,1] which does not contain 1. By the strong  $\delta$ -continuity of F,  $\exists$  a  $\Im$  nbd. U of F and a nbd. V of x in X such that  $y \in Int.(cl.V) \& g \in Int.(cl.U)$  imply  $g(y) \in W_0 \cdots (1)$ . We show that  $\overline{V}$  is N-closed.

Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\delta$ -open covering of  $\overline{V}$ ; since  $\overline{V}$  is the closure of an open set it is regularly closed and hence  $\delta$ -closed; thus  $X \setminus \overline{V}$  is  $\delta$ -open and  $\mathcal{U} \cup \{X \setminus \overline{V}\}$  is a  $\delta$ -open cover of X.

Since U is a  $\Im$ -nbd. of F,  $\exists A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$  N-closed in X &  $U_1, U_2, ..., U_n$ regular open in [0, 1] such that  $F \in T(A_1, U_1) \cap ... \cap T(A_n, U_n) \subset U$ .

Let  $G = Int.\overline{V} \setminus (A_1 \cup ... \cup A_n)$ . Obviously  $A_1 \cup ... \cup A_n$  is N-closed in X and hence  $\delta$ -closed and let if possible  $p \in G$  then  $\exists$  a strongly  $\delta$ -continuous function  $r : X \to [0,1]$  such that  $r(p) = \{1\} \& r(X \setminus G) = \{0\}$ . Now  $r \in SD(X, [0,1])$ ; also  $A_1 \cup ... \cup A_n \subset X \setminus G$  and thus  $r(A_1) = \cdots =$  $r(A_n) = \{0\}$ . Since  $F(A_1) = \cdots = F(A_n) = \{0\}$ ,  $0 \in U$ ; for i = 1, ..., nand as such  $r \in T(A_1, U_1) \cap ... \cap T(A_n, U_n) \subset U$ . But  $r(p) = \{1\} \& 1 \not\in W_0$ , where as  $r \in U \subset Int.(cl.U) \& p \in Int.(cl.V)$  should imply  $r(p) \in W_0$  ( from (1)).

Thus we arrive at a contradiction ; this contradiction shows that  $G = \emptyset$ . For i = 1, ..., n, now  $Int.\overline{V} \subseteq A_1 \cup ... \cup A_n$ . But  $A_1 \cup ... \cup A_n$  is a closed set and thus  $\overline{V} \subseteq A_1 \cup ... \cup A_n$ . Now  $\mathcal{U} \cup \{X \setminus \overline{V}\}$  is a  $\delta$ -open cover of  $A_1 \cup ... \cup A_n$ ; since each  $A_i$  is N-closed ,  $A_i \subseteq W_{i_1} \cup ... \cup W_{i_{m_i}}$  where each  $W_{i_{m_i}}$  is chosen from  $\mathcal{U} \cup \{X \setminus \overline{V}\}$ .

Thus  $\overline{V}$  has a finite subcovering from  $\mathcal{U}$  ( in fact  $X \setminus \overline{V}$  adjoined to  $\mathcal{U}$  need not occur among the members of the finite subcovering ). Thus  $\overline{V}$  is N-closed.

Note 5.7 : X with the properties stated in the theorem does exist ; infact [0, 1] with the countable complement extension topology [6] satisfies this condition.

**Conclusion 5.8**: Now we are in a position to give answear to our question that we bring at beginnig of this article. In viewing the above results we can conclude that the N-R topology on SD(Y, Z) is the smallest strongly  $\delta$ -conjoining and the largest strongly  $\delta$ -splitting topology provided Y a locally nearly compact  $T_2$  space and Z a semiregular space.

#### §6. $\delta$ -upper limit of a net

**Definition 6.1 :** With P(X) – the power set of a topological space X and  $\mathcal{A}' = \{A_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \subset P(X)$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a directed set, we define the  $\delta$ -upper limit for  $\mathcal{A}'$  as the set of all points  $x \in X$  such that for every  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  and every  $\delta$ -open nbd. U of x in X  $\exists$  an element  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  for which  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 \& A_\lambda \cap U \neq \emptyset$ . We denote the  $\delta$ -upper limit for  $\mathcal{A}'$  by  $\delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (A_\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 6.2[4].** A net  $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  on D(X,Y)  $\delta$ -continuously converges to  $f \in D(X,Y)$  iff  $\delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}}(f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K)) \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$ , for every  $\delta$ closed subset K of Y.

**Definition 6.3**: Let  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$  be the family of all  $\delta$ -open sets of the space Y and let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(Y)$ .

We define  $C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A})$  on the set D(X,Y) as follows : a pair ( $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in$  $\Lambda$ , f)  $\in C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $\{f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a net in D(X, Y) &  $f \in D(X, Y)$  if

$$f^{-1}(U) \subseteq X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}}(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(U))$$

or equivalently

$$\delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}} f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K)) \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$$

where  $K = Y \setminus U$ , for every  $U \in \mathcal{A}$ . Obviously if  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(Y)$ , then  $C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A}) = C_{\delta}$ 

**Lemma 6.4**: Let  $\mathcal{K}(Y)$  be the family of all  $\delta$ -closed subset of the space 

 $K_i \in \mathcal{K}(Y)$  for every  $i \in I$ .

**Proof.** (1) It is easy to see that

$$\cup \{\delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}} f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K_i) : i = 1, ..., n\} \subseteq \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}} (\cup \{f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K_i) : i = 1, ..., n\})$$

We prove the reverse inclusion.

Let  $x \in \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}} (\cup \{f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K_i) : i = 1, ..., n\})$ . Then for every  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  and for every  $\delta$ -open nbd.  $U_x$  of  $x \exists \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \geq \lambda_0$  such that

$$U_x \cap (\cup \{ f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K_i) : i = 1, ..., n \}) \neq \emptyset \implies \cup \{ U_x \cap f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K_i) : i = 1, ..., n \} \neq \emptyset$$

Let  $x \not\in \bigcup \{\delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K_i)) : i = 1, ..., n\}$ . Then  $x \not\in \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K_i))$ for every i = 1, ..., n. This means that for every  $i = 1, ..., n \exists \lambda_0^i \in \Lambda$  and a  $\delta$ -open nbd.  $U_x^i$  of x such that  $U_x^i \cap f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K_i) = \emptyset$  for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  with  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0^i$ . Let  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  be such that  $\lambda_0 \geq \lambda_0^i$  for every i = 1, ..., n, and let  $U_x = \bigcap_{i=1}^n U_x^i$ . Then for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \geq \lambda_0$ , we have

$$\cup \{U_x \cap f_\lambda^{-1}(K_i) , i = 1, ..., n\} = \emptyset.$$

which is a contradiction and thus  $x \in \{\delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K_i)) : i = 1, ..., n\}.$ 

(2) The proof is immediate.

**Theorem 6.5.** The following propositions are true :

- (1)  $C_{\delta} \subseteq C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A})$

(2) Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Then  $C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A}') \subseteq C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A})$ . (3) Let  $\mathcal{A}_i \subset \mathcal{O}(Y)$ ,  $i \in I$ . Then  $\cap \{C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A}_i) : i \in I\} = C^*_{\delta}(\cup \{\mathcal{A}_i : i \in I\})$  $I\}).$ 

(4) Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Let every element of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is the intersection of finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A}')$ 

**Proof.** The proof of (1),(2)&(3) are clear from the definition. To prove (4), let  $({f_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda}, f) \in C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A})$  and let  $U \in \mathcal{A}'$ . We have to prove that  $\delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}} f_{\lambda}^{-1}(K) \subseteq f^{-1}(K)$ , where  $K = Y \setminus U$ 

i.e., 
$$f^{-1}(U) \subseteq X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}}(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(U))$$

Now every element of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is the intersection of finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ , so  $\exists U_1, ..., U_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $U = \cap \{U_i : i = 1, ..., n\}$  and  $f^{-1}(U_i) \subseteq X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}}(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(U_i))$ , for every i = 1, ..., n. Hence we have  $f^{-1}(U) =$  $\frac{f^{-1}(\cap\{U_i : i = 1, ..., n\}) = \cap\{f^{-1}(U_i) : i = 1, ..., n\} \subseteq \cap\{X \setminus \delta - \lim_{\Lambda} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(U_i)) : i = 1, ..., n\} = X \setminus \cup\{\delta - \lim_{\Lambda} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(U_i)) : i = 1, ..., n\}$  $1, ..., n\} = X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (\cup \{X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(U_i) : i = 1, ..., n\}) \text{ (by lamma 6.4)} = X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (X \setminus \cap \{f_{\lambda}^{-1}(U_i) : i = 1, ..., n\}) = X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(\cap \{U_i : i = 1, ..., n\})) = X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(U))$  **Theorem 6.6.** Let  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}(Y)$  and let every elements of  $\mathcal{A}'$  is the

union of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A}')$ .

**Proof.**Let  $(\{f_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f) \in C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A})$  and let  $V \in \mathcal{A}'$ . We have to prove that

$$f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}}(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V))$$

Now from the given condition  $\exists V_i \in \mathcal{A}, i \in I$  such that  $V = \bigcup \{V_i : i = V\}$ 1,...,n} and  $f^{-1}(V_i) \subseteq X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}}(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_i))$  for every  $i \in I$ . Hence we have

$$f^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(\cup\{V_i : i \in I\}) = \cup\{f^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I\}$$
(1)

$$\subseteq \cup \{X \setminus \delta - \lim_{\Lambda} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_i)) : i \in I\}$$
(2)

$$= X \setminus \cap \{\delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_i)) : i \in I\}$$
(3)

$$\subseteq X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (\cap \{X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I\}) \text{ (by lamma 6.4)}$$
(4)

$$= X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}} (X \setminus \bigcup \{ f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_i) : i \in I \})$$
(5)

$$= X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1} (\cup \{V_i : i \in I\}))$$
(6)

$$= X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V))$$
(7)

**Theorem 6.7**: Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(Y)$  and let  $\mathcal{A}'$  be the family of all  $\delta$ -open sets for which every element is the union of elements  $\mathcal{A}_i$ ;  $i \in I$  such that every  $\mathcal{A}_i$ ,  $i \in I$  is the intersection of finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A}')$ 

**Proof**: Let  $(\{f_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda\}, f) \in C^*_{\delta}(\mathcal{A})$  and let  $V \in \mathcal{A}'$ . We have to prove that

$$f^{-1}(V) \subseteq X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V)).$$

By assumption  $\exists V_1^i, ..., V_{m(i)}^i \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $i \in I$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_i = \cap \{V_k^i : k = 1, ..., m(i)\}$ ,  $V = \cup \{\cap \{V_k^i : k = 1, ..., m(i)\} : i \in I\}$ ,  $f^{-1}(V_k^i) \subseteq X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim_{\Lambda}}(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_k^i))$  for every  $i \in I \& k = 1, ..., m(i)$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{split} f^{-1}(V) &= f^{-1}(\cup\{\cap\{V_k^i \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\} : i \in I\}) \\ &= \cup\{f^{-1}(\cap\{V_k^i \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\}) : i \in I\} \\ &= \cup\{\cap\{f^{-1}(V_k^i) \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\} : i \in I\} \\ &\subseteq \cup\{\cap\{X \setminus \delta - \varlimsup(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_k^i)) \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\} : i \in I\} \\ &= \cup\{X \setminus \cup\{\delta - \varlimsup(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_k^i)) \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\} : i \in I\} \\ &= \cup\{X \setminus \delta - \varlimsup(U\{X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_k^i) \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\}) : i \in I\} \\ &= \cup\{X \setminus \delta - \varlimsup(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V_k^i) \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\}) : i \in I\} \\ &= \cup\{X \setminus \delta - \varlimsup(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(\cap V_k^i) \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\}) : i \in I\} \\ &= X \setminus 0\{\delta - \varlimsup(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(\cap V_k^i \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\}) : i \in I\} \\ &= X \setminus \delta - \varlimsup(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(\cap V_k^i \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\}) : i \in I\} \\ &\subseteq X \setminus \delta - \varlimsup(X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(\cap V_k^i \, : \, k=1,...,m(i)\}) : i \in I\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (X \setminus \cup \{f_{\lambda}^{-1}(\cap \{V_{k}^{i} \ : \ k = 1, ..., m(i)\})\} : i \in I) \\ &= X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1} \{\cup (\cap \{V_{k}^{i} \ : \ k = 1, ..., m(i)\}) : i \in I\}) \\ &= X \setminus \delta - \overline{\lim}_{\Lambda} (X \setminus f_{\lambda}^{-1}(V)). \end{split}$$

## References

- R. Arens & J. Dugundji. Topologies for Function Space, Pacific J. Math. 1(1951), 5–31.
- [2] D. Carnahan. Locally Nearly Compact Spaces, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (14) 6 (1972), 146 - 153.
- [3] S. Ganguly & K. Dutta. Further Study of N-R Topology on Function Space , Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.– to appear.
- [4] S. Ganguly & K. Dutta.  $-\delta$ -continuous function & topologies on function space – communicated.
- [5] T. Noiri. On  $\delta$ -continuous Function , J. Korean Math. Soc. 16(1980) , 161–166.
- [6] S. Sinha Roy & S. Bandyopadhyay.- On θ-completely regular & locally θ-H-closed spaces, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. 87(1995), 19–28.

Received: 11. 05. 2002

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata - 700019, India E-mail : krish\_dutt@yahoo.co.in