

# On the real projections of zeros of analytic almost periodic functions

J. M. SEPULCRE and T. VIDAL

**ABSTRACT.** This paper deals with the sets of real projections of zeros of analytic almost periodic functions defined in a vertical strip. By using our equivalence relation introduced in the context of the complex functions which can be represented by a Dirichlet-like series, this work provides practical results in order to determine whether a real number belongs to the closure of such a set. Its main result shows that, in the case that the Fourier exponents of an analytic almost periodic function are linearly independent over the rational numbers, such a set has no isolated points.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of almost periodic functions, which was created and developed in its main features by H. Bohr during the 1920's, opened a way to study a wide class of trigonometric series of the general type and even exponential series. This theory shortly acquired numerous applications to various areas of mathematics, from harmonic analysis to differential equations. In the case of functions that are defined on the real numbers, the notion of almost periodicity is a generalization of purely periodic functions and, in fact, as in classical Fourier analysis, every almost periodic function is associated with a Fourier series with real frequencies.

Let us briefly recall some notions concerning the theory of the almost periodic functions of a complex variable, which was theorized in [4] (see also [3, 5, 8, 12]). A function  $f(s)$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , analytic in a vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  ( $-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \infty$ ), is called almost periodic in  $U$  if to any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a number  $l = l(\varepsilon)$  such that each interval  $t_0 < t < t_0 + l$  of length  $l$  contains a number  $\tau$  satisfying

$$|f(s + i\tau) - f(s)| \leq \varepsilon \forall s \in U.$$

We will denote as  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  the space of analytic almost periodic functions in a vertical strip  $U$ . It is known that every almost periodic function in  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  is determined by an exponential series of the form  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$  with complex coefficients  $a_n$  and real exponents  $\lambda_n$ , called Fourier exponents of  $f$ . This associated series is called the Dirichlet series of the given analytic almost periodic function (see [3, p.147], [8, p.77] or [12, p.312]).

Moreover, the set of analytic almost periodic functions in a vertical strip  $U$  coincides with the set of the functions which can be approximated uniformly in every reduced strip by exponential polynomials of the form

$$(1.1) \quad a_1 e^{\lambda_1 s} + \dots + a_n e^{\lambda_n s}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad n \geq 2$$

where  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  is an ordered set of real numbers (see for example [8, Theorem 3.18]). In fact, it is convenient to recall that, even in the case that the sequence of the partial

---

Received: 13.10.2020. In revised form: 07.09.2021. Accepted: 14.09.2021

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30B50, 30D20, 30Axx, 11J72.

*Key words and phrases.* Almost periodic functions, fourier exponents, zeros of analytic functions, Dirichlet series, \*-equivalence.

Corresponding author: Juan Matias Sepulcre; JM.Sepulcre@ua.es

sums of its Dirichlet series does not converge uniformly, there exists a sequence of exponential polynomials, called Bochner-Fejér polynomials, of the type  $P_k(s) = \sum_{j \geq 1} p_{j,k} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  where for each  $k$  only a finite number of the factors  $p_{j,k}$  differ from zero, which converges uniformly to  $f$  in every reduced strip in  $U$  and converges formally to the Dirichlet series on  $U$  [3, Polynomial approximation theorem, pp. 50,148].

In the context of the complex functions which can be represented by a Dirichlet-like series (in particular those almost periodic functions in  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ ), we established in 2018 a new equivalence relation among them, say the  $*$ -equivalence, which led to refining Bochner’s result that characterizes the almost periodicity (see [19, Theorem 5]) and a thorough extension of Bohr’s equivalence theorem (see [22, Theorem 1]). This new equivalence relation, which is widely used in this paper, coincides with that of Bohr for the particular case of general Dirichlet series whose sets of exponents have an integral basis (see [22, Proposition 1]). Other important results derived from this equivalence relation can be seen in [19, 20, 21, 22, 23].

On the other hand, the study of the zeros of the class of exponential polynomials of type (1.1) has become a topic of increasing interest, see for example [2, 6, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 21]. In this paper, we will study certain properties on the zeros of an analytic almost periodic function  $f(s)$  in its vertical strip of almost periodicity  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$ . Specifically, consider the values  $a_f$  and  $b_f$  defined as

$$(1.2) \quad a_f := \inf \{ \operatorname{Re} s : f(s) = 0, s \in U \}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad b_f := \sup \{ \operatorname{Re} s : f(s) = 0, s \in U \}.$$

In general, if  $f$  has at least one zero in  $U$ , it is satisfied  $-\infty \leq a_f \leq b_f \leq \infty$  (it also depends on  $U$ ). Given such a function  $f(s)$  with  $a_f, b_f \in \mathbb{R}$ , the bounds  $a_f$  and  $b_f$  allow us to define an interval  $I_f := [a_f, b_f]$  which contains the closure of the set of the real parts of the zeros of  $f(s)$  in  $U$ . If either  $a_f = \infty$  or  $b_f = \infty$ , the interval  $I_f$  is of the form  $(-\infty, b_f], [a_f, \infty)$  or  $(-\infty, \infty)$ . In this paper, we will focus our attention on the set

$$(1.4) \quad R_f := \overline{\{ \operatorname{Re} s : f(s) = 0, s \in U \}} \cap (\alpha, \beta).$$

In this respect, the density properties of the zeros of several groups of exponential polynomials have also become a topic of increasing interest. In particular, the topological properties of the set  $R_{\zeta_n} = \overline{\{ \operatorname{Re} s : \zeta_n(s) = 0 \}}$  associated with the partial sums  $\zeta_n(s) = 1 + 2^{-s} + \dots + n^{-s}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , of the Riemann zeta function has been studied from different approaches. For example, an auxiliary function associated with  $\zeta_n(s)$  was used in [17, Theorem 9] in order to establish conditions to decide whether a real number is in the set  $R_{\zeta_n}$ . This auxiliary function, which is called the “companion function” of  $\zeta_n$  in [24, p. 163], can also be adapted from a known result of C.E. Avellar and J.K. Hale [2, Theorem 3.1] in order to obtain analytical criterions about  $R_P$  in the more general case of exponential polynomials  $P(s)$  of type (1.1).

In this paper, by analogy to the case of exponential polynomials, we first introduce an auxiliary function, of countably many real variables, which is associated with a prefixed almost periodic function  $f(s)$  in a vertical strip  $U$  (see section 2). Secondly, we will see that this auxiliary function leads us to a practical characterization of the points of the set  $R_f$  associated with  $f(s)$  (see Theorem 3.2). See also Theorem 3.1 which provides another characterization of the points in  $R_f$  and extends other results such as [7, Lemma 3] or [16, Lemma 4]. Thirdly, we study the closure set of the real parts of the zeros of almost periodic functions  $f(s)$  whose Fourier exponents  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$  are linearly independent over the rational numbers (see section 4). Under these hypothesis, this study provides a new

pointwise characterization of the set  $R_f$  in terms of the inequalities (4.8) (see Theorem 4.3), which facilitates the obtaining of Proposition 4.1, about the boundary points of  $R_f$ , and corollaries 4.1 and 4.2 about some extra conditions under which we can state that  $R_f \neq \emptyset$  (concerning this topic, see also Example 4.1). Finally, also under  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear independence of  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$ , with  $k > 2$ , we prove that the set of the real projections of the zeros of  $f(s)$  has no isolated point in  $(\alpha, \beta)$  (see Theorem 4.4), which generalizes [13, Theorem 7].

2. AUXILIARY FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH ALMOST PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

Let  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  denote the class consisting of exponential sums of the form

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j p}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda_j \in \Lambda,$$

where  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  is an arbitrary countable set of distinct real numbers (not necessarily unbounded), which are called a set of exponents or frequencies.

Also, let  $G_\Lambda = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, \dots\}$  be a basis of the vector space over the rationals generated by a set  $\Lambda$  of exponents, which implies that  $G_\Lambda$  is linearly independent over the rational numbers and each  $\lambda_j$  is expressible as a finite linear combination of terms of  $G_\Lambda$ , say

$$\lambda_j = \sum_{k=1}^{q_j} r_{j,k} g_k, \quad \text{for some } r_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

By abuse of notation, we will say that  $G_\Lambda$  is a basis for  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, we will say that  $G_\Lambda$  is an integral basis for  $\Lambda$  when  $r_{j,k} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for any  $j, k$ . Finally, we will say that  $G_\Lambda$  is the *natural basis* for  $\Lambda$ , and we will denote it as  $G_\Lambda^*$ , when it is constituted by elements in  $\Lambda$  as follows. Firstly if  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$  then  $g_1 := \lambda_1 \in G_\Lambda^*$ . Secondly, if  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rationally independent, then  $g_2 := \lambda_2 \in G_\Lambda^*$ . Otherwise, if  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_3\}$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -rationally independent, then  $g_2 := \lambda_3 \in G_\Lambda^*$ , and so on.

Let  $A_1(p)$  and  $A_2(p)$  be two exponential sums in the class  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ , say  $A_1(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j p}$  and  $A_2(p) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b_j e^{\lambda_j p}$ . It is said that  $A_1$  is  $*$ -equivalent to  $A_2$ , and it is denoted as  $A_1 \sim^* A_2$ , if for each integer value  $n \geq 1$ , with  $n \leq \#\Lambda$  (cardinal of  $\Lambda$ ), there exists a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear map  $\psi_n : \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$b_j = a_j e^{i\psi_n(\lambda_j)}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n.$$

The important aspect in the  $*$ -equivalence, which is inspired by that of Bohr given for the case of general Dirichlet series, is the condition of existence of the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear maps  $\psi_n$  for each integer value  $n \geq 1$ , with  $n \leq \#\Lambda$  (see [19]).

If the formal series in  $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$  are handled as exponential sums of a complex variable on which we fix a summation procedure, we can introduce an auxiliary function which will be an important tool in this paper. To do this, we first consider the definition of the classes  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  of almost periodic functions in the following terms.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  be an arbitrary countable set of distinct real numbers. We will say that a function  $f : U \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is in the class  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  if it is an almost periodic function in  $AP(U, \mathbb{C})$  whose associated Dirichlet series is of the form

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda_j \in \Lambda,$$

where  $U$  is a strip of the type  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \text{Re } s < \beta\}$ , with  $-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \infty$ .

Now, with respect to our particular case of almost periodic functions with the Bochner-Fejér summation method (see, in this regard, [3, Chapter 1, Section 9]), to every almost periodic function  $f \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  we can associate an auxiliary function  $F_f$  of countably many real variables as follows (see [22, Definition 5]). For this, let  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^m = \{(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : c_k = 2\pi n_k, \text{ with } n_k \in \mathbb{Z}, k = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Given  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  a set of exponents, let  $f(s) \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  be an almost periodic function in  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \text{Re } s < \beta\}$ ,  $-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \infty$ , whose Dirichlet series is given by  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}$ . For each  $j \geq 1$  let  $\mathbf{r}_j$  be the vector of rational components satisfying the equality  $\lambda_j = \langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{g} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{q_j} r_{j,k} g_k$ , where  $\mathbf{g} := (g_1, \dots, g_k, \dots)$  is the vector of the elements of the natural basis  $G_\Lambda^*$  for  $\Lambda$ . Then we define the auxiliary function  $F_f : (\alpha, \beta) \times [0, 2\pi)^{\#G_\Lambda^*} \times \prod_{j \geq 1} 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{\#G_\Lambda^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  associated with  $f$ , relative to the basis  $G_\Lambda^*$ , as

$$(2.6) \quad F_f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots) := \sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j \sigma} e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}_j \rangle i},$$

where  $\sigma \in (\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 2\pi)^{\#G_\Lambda^*}$ ,  $\mathbf{p}_j \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{\#G_\Lambda^*}$  and series (2.6) is summed by Bochner-Fejér procedure, applied at  $t = 0$  to the sum  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}_j \rangle i} e^{\lambda_j s}$ .

We first note that, if  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\lambda_j s}$  is the Dirichlet series of  $f \in AP(U, \mathbb{C})$ , for every choice of  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\#G_\Lambda}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_j \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{\#G_\Lambda}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , the sum  $\sum_{j \geq 1} a_j e^{\langle \mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}_j \rangle i} e^{\lambda_j s}$  represents the Dirichlet series of an almost periodic function which is connected with  $f$  through the  $*$ -equivalence (see [19, Lemma 3] and [22, Proposition 2]).

We next introduce the following notation which will be used to show the direct relation between an almost periodic function and the auxiliary function associated with it.

**Definition 2.3.** Given  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j, \dots\}$  a set of exponents, let  $f(s) \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  be an almost periodic function in an open vertical strip  $U$ , and  $\sigma_0 = \text{Re } s_0$  with  $s_0 \in U$ . We define  $\text{Img}(F_f(\sigma_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots))$  to be the set of values in the complex plane taken on by the auxiliary function  $F_f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots)$  when  $\sigma = \sigma_0$ ; that is  $\text{Img}(F_f(\sigma_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots)) = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \exists \mathbf{x} \in [0, 2\pi)^{\#G_\Lambda^*} \text{ and } \mathbf{p}_j \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{\#G_\Lambda^*} \text{ such that } s = F_f(\sigma_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots)\}$ .

The notation  $\text{Img}(F_f(\sigma_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots))$  is well-posed because this set is independent of the basis  $G_\Lambda$  (see [22, Lemma 1]). Also, given a function  $f(s)$ , take the notation

$$\text{Img}(f(\sigma_0 + it)) = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \exists t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } s = f(\sigma_0 + it)\}.$$

It is convenient to remark that, concerning the classes  $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  and the  $*$ -equivalence, it was proved in [22, Proposition 4, ii)] that  $\text{Img}(F_f(\sigma_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots)) = \bigcup_{f_k \sim f}^* \text{Img}(f_k(\sigma_0 + it))$ . Moreover, it was proved in [22, Theorem 1] that if  $E$  is an open set of real numbers included in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , then

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in E} \text{Img}(f_1(\sigma + it)) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in E} \text{Img}(f_2(\sigma + it)),$$

where  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda$  are two equivalent almost periodic functions in  $U$ . That is, the functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  take the same set of values on the region  $\{s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma \in E\}$ .

### 3. THE CLOSURE SET OF THE REAL PROJECTIONS OF THE ZEROS IN THE GENERAL CASE

This section is mainly devoted to show two point-wise characterizations of the sets  $R_f$  defined in (1.4) associated with an almost periodic function  $f(s)$ .

We first extend and improve some results in the style of [7, Lemma 3] or [16, Lemma 4].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in an open vertical strip  $U = \{\sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$ , and  $\sigma_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $\sigma_0 \in R_f$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{t_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots}$  of real numbers such that*

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(\sigma_0 + it_j) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $f(s)$ , and its derivatives, are bounded on every reduced strip of  $U$  [3, pp. 142-144]. Suppose first the existence of  $\{t_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots} \subset \mathbb{R}$  satisfying (3.7). In order to apply [14, Lemma, p.73], we next prove that there exist positive numbers  $\delta$  and  $l$  such that on any segment of length  $l$  of the line  $x = \sigma_0$  there is a point  $\sigma_0 + iM$  such that  $|f(\sigma_0 + iM)| \geq \delta$ .

Indeed, let  $t_0$  be a real number such that  $|f(\sigma_0 + it_0)| > 0$  and take  $\delta = \frac{|f(\sigma_0 + it_0)|}{2}$ .

Then, since  $f(s)$  is an almost periodic function in  $U$ , there exists a positive real number  $l = l(\delta)$  such that every interval of length  $l$  on the imaginary axis contains at least one translation number  $iT$ , associated with  $\delta$ , satisfying  $|f(s + iT) - f(s)| \leq \delta$  for all  $s \in U$ . Thus, by taking  $s = \sigma_0 + it_0$ , we have  $|f(\sigma_0 + i(t_0 + T)) - f(\sigma_0 + it_0)| \leq \delta$  and, according to the choice of  $\delta$ , it follows that  $|f(\sigma_0 + i(t_0 + T))| \geq \delta$ . Therefore,  $|f(\sigma_0 + iM)| \geq \delta$ , with  $M := t_0 + T$ . Consequently, the function  $f(s)$  has the properties needed to apply [14, Lemma, p.73] and thus  $f(s)$  has zeros in every strip

$$S_\epsilon := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma_0 - \epsilon < \operatorname{Re} s < \sigma_0 + \epsilon\},$$

for any arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , which proves that  $\sigma_0 \in R_f$ .

Conversely suppose that  $\sigma_0 \in R_f$ . This means that there exists a sequence  $\{s_j\}_{j \geq 1} \subset U$ , with  $s_j = \sigma_j + it_j$ , such that  $f(s_j) = 0$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_j = \sigma_0$ . Consider the sequence of functions given by  $f_j(s) := f(s + t_j)$ ,  $s \in U$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  which is uniformly bounded on every strip  $S_\epsilon \subset U$ . By Montel's theorem [1, Section 5.1.10],  $\{f_j(s)\}_{j \geq 1}$  has a subsequence, which we denote again by  $\{f_j(s)\}$ , converging uniformly on compact subsets of  $S_\epsilon$  to a function  $h(s)$  analytic in  $S_\epsilon$ . In this way, it is clear that

$$h(\sigma_0) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(\sigma_0) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f(\sigma_0 + it_j) = 0.$$

Indeed, note that  $h(\sigma_0) = 0$  in virtue from  $f_j(\sigma_j) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_j = \sigma_0$ . □

We next give a characterization of the sets  $R_f$  by means of an *ad hoc* version of [2, Theorem 3.1], which is obtained through the auxiliary function  $F_f$  analysed in the previous section (see (2.6)).

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in a vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$ . Consider  $\sigma \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $\sigma \in R_f$  if and only if there exist some vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 2\pi]^\#G_\Lambda^*$  and  $\mathbf{p}_j \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^\#G_\Lambda^*$  such that  $F_f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots) = 0$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the set of Fourier exponents of  $f(s)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_0 \in R_f$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{s_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots} \subset U$ , with  $s_j = \sigma_j + it_j$ , of zeros of  $f(s)$  such that  $\sigma_0 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_j$ . Consider the sequence of functions  $\{f_j(s)\}_{j \geq 1}$  defined as  $f_j(s) := f(s + it_j)$ , which are analytic in  $U$ . Then it is clear that each  $f_j(s)$  is equivalent to  $f(s)$  (see [19, Lemma 1]), that is  $f_j \sim^* f$  where  $\sim^*$  is the equivalence relation considered in [19, 22]. Now, by taking into account [19, Propositions 3 and 4], we can extract a subsequence of  $\{f_j(s)\}_{j \geq 1}$  which converges uniformly on every reduced strip of  $U$  to a function  $h(s)$  in the same equivalence class as  $f$ . Moreover, we have  $h(\sigma_0) = 0$ . Indeed  $h(\sigma_0) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j(\sigma_0) = 0$ . Otherwise, there would exist  $D(\sigma_0, \epsilon)$  such that  $h(s) \neq 0 \forall s \in \overline{D}(\sigma_0, \epsilon)$  and, by Hurwitz's theorem [1, Section 5.1.3], there would exist  $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f_j(s) \neq 0 \forall s \in \overline{D}(\sigma_0, \epsilon)$  and each  $j \geq j_0$ , which is a contradiction because  $f_j(\sigma_j) = 0$ .

Consequently, as  $h(\sigma_0) = 0$  and  $\text{Im}g(F_f(\sigma_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots)) = \bigcup_{f_k \sim^* f} \text{Im}g(f_k(\sigma_0 + it))$  [22, Proposition 4, ii)], we have that there exist  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 2\pi)^{\sharp G_\Lambda^*}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_j \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{\sharp G_\Lambda^*}$  such that  $0 = F_f(\sigma_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $F_f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots) = 0$  for some real number  $\sigma \in (\alpha, \beta)$  and some vectors  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots) \in [0, 2\pi)^{\sharp G_\Lambda^*}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_j \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{\sharp G_\Lambda^*}$ . Again by [22, Proposition 4, ii)], we have that  $\text{Im}g(F_f(\sigma, \mathbf{x})) = \bigcup_{f_k \sim^* f} \text{Im}g(f_k(\sigma + it))$ . Hence there exists  $f_k \sim^* f$  such that  $f_k(\sigma + it) = 0$  for some real number  $t$ . Furthermore, by [22, Theorem 1], for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small it is accomplished that the functions  $f_k$  and  $f$  take the same set of values on the region  $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } s \in (\sigma - \varepsilon, \sigma + \varepsilon)\}$ . This means that  $\sigma \in R_f$ .  $\square$

4. THE CLOSURE SET OF THE REAL PROJECTIONS OF THE ZEROS UNDER  $\mathbb{Q}$ -LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF THE FOURIER EXPONENTS

We first recall that if the Fourier exponents of an almost periodic function  $f$  in a vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  are linearly independent over the rational numbers, then the Dirichlet series expansion of  $f$  converges to  $f$  itself and, in fact, it converges absolutely in the open strip  $U$  ([9, Theorem 3.6] and [3, p. 154]). Moreover, in this case it is obvious that the set of Fourier exponents has an integral basis.

We next prove the following characterization of the points in the set  $R_f$  associated with an almost periodic function  $f$  whose Fourier exponents are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent, that is, when its exponents are linearly independent over the rational numbers.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in a vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  whose Dirichlet series is given by  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$  with  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$   $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent and  $k > 2$ . Let  $\sigma_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $\sigma_0 \in R_f$  if and only if*

$$(4.8) \quad |a_j| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_j} \leq \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j} |a_i| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_i} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \dots).$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, take  $G_\Lambda^* = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$  as the basis of the vector space over the rationals generated by the set of Fourier exponents of  $f$ . Suppose that  $\sigma_0 \in R_f$ , then by Theorem 3.2 there exist some vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 2\pi)^{\sharp G_\Lambda^*}$  and  $\mathbf{p}_j \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^{\sharp G_\Lambda^*}$  such that  $F_f(\sigma, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \dots) = 0$  or, equivalently,  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n \sigma_0} e^{x_n i} = 0$  (by taking  $\mathbf{g} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$  and hence  $r_{n,k} = 0$  if  $k \neq n$  and  $r_{n,n} = 1$ ). Therefore,

$$a_j e^{\lambda_j \sigma_0} e^{x_j i} = - \sum_{k \geq 1, k \neq j} a_k e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_k} e^{x_k i}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

and, by taking the modulus, we get

$$|a_j| e^{\lambda_j \sigma_0} \leq \sum_{k \geq 1, k \neq j} |a_k| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_k}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

Conversely, suppose that the positive real numbers  $|a_j| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , satisfy inequalities (4.8). We recall that, by [9, Theorem 3.6] or [3, p.154], it is accomplished that  $\sum_{j \geq 1} |a_j| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_j} < \infty$ . Thus, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{j \geq n_0} |a_j| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_j} < \varepsilon$ . Hence, for  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small we can index the terms in decreasing order so that  $m_1$  is such that  $|a_{m_1}| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_{m_1}} := \max\{|a_k| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_k} : k = 1, 2, \dots, n_0 - 1\}$ ,  $m_2$  such that  $|a_{m_2}| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_{m_2}} := \max\{|a_k| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_k} : k = 1, 2, \dots, n_0 - 1, k \neq m_1\}$ , etc. Therefore, by taking  $r := \sum_{j \geq n_0} |a_j| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_j}$ , there is at least one  $n_0$ -sided polygon whose sides have the lengths

$|a_{m_j}|e^{\sigma_0\lambda_{m_j}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_0 - 1$  and  $r$  [14, p.71]. That means that there exist real numbers  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n_0}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_0-1} |a_k|e^{\sigma_0\lambda_k} e^{i\theta_k} + r e^{i\theta_{n_0}} = 0.$$

Consequently, by taking  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\sharp G_\Lambda}$  the vector with components  $x_k = \theta_k - \text{Arg}(a_k)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n_0 - 1$ , and  $x_k = \theta_{n_0} - \text{Arg}(a_k)$  for each  $k \geq n_0$ , where  $\text{Arg}(a_k)$  denotes the principal argument of  $a_k$ , we have

$$F_f(\sigma_0, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \dots) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k e^{\lambda_k \sigma_0} e^{x_k i} = 0.$$

Hence, from Theorem 3.2,  $\sigma_0 \in R_f$ . □

From now on we will analyse some properties of the set  $R_f$  defined by

$$\overline{\{\text{Re } s : f(s) = 0, s \in U\}} \cap (\alpha, \beta),$$

associated with an almost periodic function  $f(s)$  in a vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  with rationally independent Fourier exponents.

Concerning inequalities (4.8), given such a function  $f(s)$  and a boundary point  $\sigma_0$  of the set  $R_f$ , we next prove that the equality is attained at  $\sigma_0$  in only one of these inequalities.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in a vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  whose Fourier exponents  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$ , with  $k > 2$ , are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. Let  $\sigma_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . If  $\sigma_0$  is a boundary point of  $R_f$ , then it satisfies all the inequalities (4.8) and only one of them is an equality.*

*Proof.* Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in a vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  whose Dirichlet series is given by  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$ , with  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$   $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent and  $k > 2$ . As  $R_f$  is closed in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , the boundary of  $R_f$  is a subset of  $R_f$  itself. Then  $\sigma_0 \in R_f$  and, by Theorem 4.3, inequalities (4.8) are obviously satisfied for  $\sigma_0$ . Moreover, if some of the inequalities (4.8) is an equality, as any couple of equalities are incompatible, the lemma follows. Otherwise we have the following strict inequalities

$$(4.9) \quad |a_j| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_j} < \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j} |a_i| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_i}, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \dots).$$

Now, as  $\sigma_0$  is a boundary point of  $R_f = \overline{\{\text{Re } s : f(s) = 0, s \in U\}} \cap (\alpha, \beta)$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that either  $R_f^c \supset (\sigma_0 - \varepsilon, \sigma_0)$  or  $R_f^c \supset (\sigma_0, \sigma_0 + \varepsilon)$ , where  $R_f^c$  denotes  $(\alpha, \beta) \setminus R_f$ . Let  $\sigma_1$  be a point in  $(\sigma_0 - \varepsilon, \sigma_0 + \varepsilon) \cap R_f^c$ . In virtue of Theorem 4.3, it is plain that there exists a single  $j_0 \geq 1$  so that

$$(4.10) \quad |a_{j_0}| e^{\sigma_1 \lambda_{j_0}} > \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j_0} |a_i| e^{\sigma_1 \lambda_i}.$$

Thus, by continuity, we deduce from (4.9) and (4.10), for this  $j_0$ , that there exists  $\sigma_2$  between  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$  such that

$$(4.11) \quad |a_{j_0}| e^{\sigma_2 \lambda_{j_0}} = \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j_0} |a_i| e^{\sigma_2 \lambda_i}.$$

Moreover, by (4.11) it is clear that

$$|a_j| e^{\sigma_2 \lambda_j} \leq \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j} |a_i| e^{\sigma_2 \lambda_i}, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \dots).$$

which yields, again by Theorem 4.3, that  $\sigma_2 \in R_f$ . This is a contradiction and hence the result holds. □

We first prove that the set  $R_f$  associated with an almost periodic function in  $U = \mathbb{C}$ , whose Fourier exponents are linearly independent over the rational numbers, is not the empty set.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in  $\mathbb{C}$  whose Fourier exponents  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$ , with  $k > 2$ , are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. Then  $R_f \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in  $\mathbb{C}$  whose Dirichlet series is given by  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$ . By reductio ad absurdum, suppose  $R_f = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 4.3, there exists  $j_0 \geq 1$  so that

$$(4.12) \quad |a_{j_0}| e^{\sigma \lambda_{j_0}} > \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j_0} |a_i| e^{\sigma \lambda_i}, \text{ for all } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Otherwise (if the inequality is not true for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ), by continuity, there would exist  $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|a_{j_0}| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_{j_0}} = \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j_0} |a_i| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_i}$  and hence, by Theorem 4.3, it is clear that  $\sigma_0$  would be in  $R_f$ .

However, we next show that (4.12) is a contradiction. Indeed, take  $k \neq j_0$ . Since  $\lambda_k \neq \lambda_{j_0}$ , it is plain that there exists  $\sigma_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|a_k| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_k} = |a_{j_0}| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_{j_0}}$ , which yields that

$$\sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j_0} |a_i| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_i} > |a_k| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_k} = |a_{j_0}| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_{j_0}},$$

which contradicts (4.12). Now the result holds. □

It is worth noting that the condition  $U = \mathbb{C}$  in Corollary 4.1 is necessary. That is, an almost periodic function  $f(s)$  in a vertical strip  $U$ , with  $U \neq \mathbb{C}$ , such that its Fourier exponents are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent could satisfy  $R_f = \emptyset$ .

**Example 4.1.** Let  $\{1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n, \dots, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n, \dots\}$  be an ordered set of positive real numbers which are linearly independent over the rational numbers and satisfy  $\lambda_n > n^2$  (hence  $\rho_n > n^2$ ) for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is clear that such a set can be constructed from an arbitrary Hamel basis for  $\mathbb{R}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  in virtue of the density of rational numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

On the one hand, consider the exponential sum

$$S_1(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} e^{\lambda_n s}.$$

Note that the exponents of  $S_1$  are linearly independent over the rational numbers. Moreover,  $S_1(s)$  converges absolutely on  $U_1 = \{s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma < 0\}$ . Indeed, for any  $\sigma < 0$ , it is satisfied that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} e^{\lambda_n \sigma} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

and the derivative of  $S_1(s)$  accomplishes

$$S'_1(\sigma) = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{\lambda_n \sigma},$$

which implies that  $S_1(s)$  diverges when  $\sigma > 0$ .

On the other hand, consider the exponential sum

$$S_2(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\rho_n} e^{-\rho_n(s+1)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\rho_n} e^{-\rho_n} e^{-\rho_n s}.$$

Note that the exponents of  $S_2$  are linearly independent over the rational numbers. Moreover,  $S_2(s)$  converges absolutely on  $U_2 = \{s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \sigma > -1\}$ . Indeed, for any  $\sigma > -1$ , it is satisfied that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\rho_n} e^{-\rho_n} e^{-\rho_n \sigma} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\rho_n} \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

and the derivative accomplishes

$$S_2'(\sigma) = \sum_{n \geq 1} -e^{-\rho_n(\sigma+1)},$$

which implies that  $S_2(s)$  diverges when  $\sigma < -1$ .

In this way, let  $S(s) := S_1(s) + S_2(s) + \frac{e\pi^2}{3}e^s, s \in \mathbb{C}$ . By above, it is accomplished that  $S(s)$  is an exponential sum, whose exponents are linearly independent over the rationals, which converges uniformly in  $U = U_1 \cap U_2 = \{s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : -1 < \sigma < 0\}$ . In fact,  $U$  is the largest open vertical strip of almost periodicity of  $S(s)$ . Moreover,

$$|S_1(s) + S_2(s)| \leq 2\frac{\pi^2}{6} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} < \left| \frac{e\pi^2}{3}e^s \right| \quad \forall s \in U.$$

Consequently,  $S(s)$  has no zeros in  $U$  and hence  $R_S = \emptyset$ .

In this respect, we next study the following more general result.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in a vertical strip  $U = \{s = \sigma + it : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$  whose Dirichlet series is given by  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$  and  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$ , with  $k > 2$ , are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. Suppose that  $U$  is the largest open vertical strip of almost periodicity of  $f$  and some of the following conditions is satisfied:*

- a)  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \alpha^+} \sum_{i \geq 1} |a_i| e^{\sigma \lambda_i} > 2 \sup\{|a_i| e^{\alpha \lambda_i} : i \geq 1\}$ ;
- b)  $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \beta^-} \sum_{i \geq 1} |a_i| e^{\sigma \lambda_i} > 2 \sup\{|a_i| e^{\beta \lambda_i} : i \geq 1\}$ .

Then  $R_f \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in  $U$  whose Dirichlet series is given by  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$  and  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$ , with  $k > 2$ , are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. By [3, p. 154, first theorem],  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$  is absolutely convergent in  $U$  and hence  $f(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$  for any  $s \in U$ . Let  $f_1(s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n| e^{\lambda_n s}$ , which is clearly analytic on  $U$ , then  $U$  is the largest open vertical strip of almost periodicity of  $f_1$ . Indeed, it is plain that  $f_1$  is almost periodic on  $U$  (it converges absolutely on  $U$ ). Moreover, if there was an open vertical strip  $V \supset U$ , with  $U \neq V$  and  $f_1$  almost periodic on  $V$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s} \right| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} |a_n| e^{\lambda_n \sigma} = f_1(\sigma) < \infty \quad \forall s = \sigma + it \in V$$

and hence  $V$  would be an open vertical strip where  $f$  converges absolutely, which is a contradiction.

Now, by reductio ad absurdum, suppose  $R_f = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 4.3, there exists  $j_0 \geq 1$  so that

$$(4.13) \quad |a_{j_0}| e^{\sigma \lambda_{j_0}} > \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j_0} |a_i| e^{\sigma \lambda_i}, \text{ for all } \sigma \in (\alpha, \beta).$$

Otherwise (if the inequality is not true for all  $\sigma \in (\alpha, \beta)$ ), by continuity, there would exist  $\sigma_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$  such that  $|a_{j_0}|e^{\sigma_0\lambda_{j_0}} = \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j_0} |a_i|e^{\sigma_0\lambda_i}$  and hence, by Theorem 4.3, it is clear that  $\sigma_0$  would be in  $R_f$ . Let  $g(\sigma) := \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j_0} |a_i|e^{\sigma\lambda_i} - |a_{j_0}|e^{\sigma\lambda_{j_0}}$ ,  $\sigma \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . We deduce from (4.13) that

$$(4.14) \quad g(\sigma) < 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \in (\alpha, \beta).$$

However, we next show that this is a contradiction. Note first that, by taking into account [3, p. 154, second theorem],  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are singular points of  $f_1(s)$ . By hypothesis (condition a)), given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\sigma_1 \in (\alpha, \alpha + \varepsilon)$  such that

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} |a_i|e^{\sigma\lambda_i} > 2 \sup\{|a_i|e^{\alpha\lambda_i} : i \geq 1\} \geq 2|a_{j_0}|e^{\alpha\lambda_{j_0}} \quad \forall \sigma \in (\alpha, \sigma_1)$$

or (condition b)) there exists  $\sigma_2 \in (\beta - \varepsilon, \beta)$  such that

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} |a_i|e^{\sigma\lambda_i} > 2 \sup\{|a_i|e^{\beta\lambda_i} : i \geq 1\} \geq 2|a_{j_0}|e^{\beta\lambda_{j_0}} \quad \forall \sigma \in (\sigma_2, \beta).$$

Therefore, by continuity, there exists  $0 < \tau < \min\{\sigma_1 - \alpha, \beta - \sigma_2\}$ , sufficiently small, such that

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} |a_i|e^{\sigma\lambda_i} > 2|a_{j_0}|e^{(\alpha+\tau)\lambda_{j_0}} \quad \forall \sigma \in (\alpha, \sigma_1)$$

or

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} |a_i|e^{\sigma\lambda_i} > 2|a_{j_0}|e^{(\beta-\tau)\lambda_{j_0}} \quad \forall \sigma \in (\sigma_2, \beta).$$

In particular, we get

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} |a_i|e^{(\alpha+\tau)\lambda_i} > 2|a_{j_0}|e^{(\alpha+\tau)\lambda_{j_0}}$$

or

$$(4.16) \quad \sum_{i \geq 1} |a_i|e^{(\beta-\tau)\lambda_i} > 2|a_{j_0}|e^{(\beta-\tau)\lambda_{j_0}}.$$

Since (4.15) and (4.16) imply  $g(\alpha + \tau) > 0$  and  $g(\beta - \tau) > 0$ , respectively, we get a contradiction with (4.14). Now the result follows. □

We next focus our attention on the real solutions of the equations

$$(4.17) \quad |a_j|e^{\lambda_j\sigma} = \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j} |a_i|e^{\lambda_i\sigma}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \dots$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in a vertical strip  $U = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \operatorname{Re} s < \beta\}$  whose Fourier exponents  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$ , with  $k > 2$ , are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. Then each equation (4.17) has at most 2 real solutions in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in  $U = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \operatorname{Re} s < \beta\}$  whose Dirichlet series is given by  $\sum_{n \geq 1} a_n e^{\lambda_n s}$  with  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$   $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent and  $k > 2$ . Fixed  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \dots$ , we define the real function

$$f_j(\sigma) := \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j} |a_i|e^{\lambda_i\sigma} - |a_j|e^{\lambda_j\sigma}, \quad \sigma \in (\alpha, \beta).$$

Also, by dividing by  $|a_j|e^{\lambda_j\sigma}$ , consider

$$B_j(\sigma) := \frac{f_j(\sigma)}{|a_j|e^{\lambda_j\sigma}} = \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j} \frac{|a_i|}{|a_j|} e^{(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)\sigma} - 1, \quad \sigma \in (\alpha, \beta).$$

It is worth noting that, since  $f(s)$  is analytic in  $U$ , then it is uniformly continuous in every open interval interior to  $U$  together with all its derivatives [3, p. 142]. Thus it is easy to check that  $B_j''(\sigma) \geq 0$  for all  $\sigma \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , i.e.  $B_j(\sigma)$  is convex in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Consequently, equation  $B(\sigma) = 0, \sigma \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , has at most two solutions. Thus the result holds.  $\square$

At this point, we prove the following important result which generalizes [13, Theorem 7].

**Theorem 4.4.** *The set of the real projections of the zeros of an almost periodic function in an open vertical strip  $U = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \operatorname{Re} s < \beta\}$ , whose Fourier exponents  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$ , with  $k > 2$ , are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent, has no isolated point in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* If the real projection of a zero  $s_0 \in U$  of  $f(s)$ , say  $\sigma_0$ , were an isolated point of the set  $\{\operatorname{Re} s : f(s) = 0, s \in U\}$ , necessarily  $\sigma_0$  would be a boundary point of the set  $R_f = \overline{\{\operatorname{Re} s : f(s) = 0, s \in U\}} \cap (\alpha, \beta)$ , with  $\sigma_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ . By Proposition 4.1, it satisfies all the inequalities (4.8), that is,

$$|a_j| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_j} \leq \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq j} |a_i| e^{\sigma_0 \lambda_i}, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \dots),$$

and only one of them is an equality, say

$$(4.18) \quad |a_k| e^{\lambda_k \sigma} = \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq k} |a_i| e^{\lambda_i \sigma}.$$

Now, from Lemma 4.1, we have that equation (4.18), which is satisfied by  $\sigma_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$ , has 1 or 2 solutions in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . This means that the equation  $B_k(\sigma) = 0$  has 1 or 2 solutions in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , where

$$B_k(\sigma) := \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq k} \frac{|a_i|}{|a_k|} e^{(\lambda_i - \lambda_k) \sigma} - 1, \quad \sigma \in (\alpha, \beta).$$

Thus, since  $B_k(\sigma)$  is continuous and convex in  $(\alpha, \beta)$  (see also the proof of Lemma 4.1), we can assure the existence of some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that any  $\sigma$  in the interval  $(\sigma_0 - \varepsilon, \sigma_0) \subset (\alpha, \beta)$  or  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_0 + \varepsilon) \subset (\alpha, \beta)$  satisfies  $B_k(\sigma) \geq 0$ , which implies that  $\sigma$  satisfies inequalities (4.8). Then, by Theorem 4.3, the interval  $(\sigma_0 - \varepsilon, \sigma_0)$  or  $(\sigma_0, \sigma_0 + \varepsilon)$  is in  $R_f$ , which is a contradiction because  $\sigma_0$  is an isolated point in  $R_f$ .  $\square$

Under the conditions of the previous result, it is now clear that the set  $R_f$  is the union of a denumerable amount of disjoint nondegenerate intervals. In this respect, the following result concerns the gaps of the set  $R_f$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $f(s)$  be an almost periodic function in a vertical strip  $U = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \operatorname{Re} s < \beta\}$  whose Fourier exponents  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots\}$ , with  $k > 2$ , are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. Then the gaps of  $R_f$  are produced by those equations (4.17) having two real solutions in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma_0 \in (\alpha, \beta)$  be a boundary point of  $R_f$ . Then, by Proposition 4.1,  $\sigma_0$  satisfies only one of equalities (4.17), say

$$(4.19) \quad |a_k| e^{\lambda_k \sigma} = \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq k} |a_i| e^{\lambda_i \sigma}.$$

If we suppose that equation (4.19) has only the solution  $\sigma_0$  in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , it follows from theorems 4.3 and 4.4 that

$$|a_k| e^{\lambda_k \sigma} < \sum_{i \geq 1, i \neq k} |a_i| e^{\lambda_i \sigma} \quad \forall \sigma \in (\alpha, \beta) \setminus \{\sigma_0\}.$$

Therefore, in virtue from continuity and Theorem 4.3, we can assure the existence of some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the interval  $(\sigma_0 - \varepsilon, \sigma_0 + \varepsilon)$  is in  $R_f$ , which is a contradiction because  $\sigma_0$  is a boundary point in  $R_f$ . Consequently, by Lemma 4.1, equation (4.19) has two solutions in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , which means that the gaps of  $R_f$  are only produced by those equations (4.17) having two real solutions in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ .  $\square$

## 5. CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS

Our goal was to verify to what extent several known results on the sets of real projections of zeros of certain classes of exponential polynomials can be extended to the case of analytic almost periodic functions defined in vertical strips of the form  $U = \{s = \sigma + it \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha < \sigma < \beta\}$ , with  $-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \infty$ . This approach is possible in the general case because there exists a way of summation, called Bochner-Fejér procedure, which gives rise to a sequence of finite exponential sums that converges uniformly to a prefixed almost periodic function  $f(s)$  in every reduced strip in  $U$ . In fact, given such a function  $f(s)$ , this procedure allows us to introduce an auxiliar function associated with it, of countably many real variables, which is a key tool in order to characterize the sets  $R_f := \overline{\{\operatorname{Re} s : f(s) = 0, s \in U\}} \cap (\alpha, \beta)$ . The proof of this first characterization is based on an equivalence relation which we previously introduced in the context of the complex functions which can be represented by a Fourier-like or Dirichlet-like series (as in the case of the spaces of Bohr's almost periodic functions).

For the case that the Fourier exponents of such an almost periodic function  $f(s)$  are linearly independent over the rational numbers, we know that the Dirichlet series expansion of  $f(s)$  is absolutely convergent in  $U$  (in fact, it is also uniformly convergent to  $f(s)$ ). Due to the circumstances surrounding this particular case, we have provided a new pointwise characterization of the set  $R_f$  in terms of the inequalities (4.8), which leads to determining the possible boundary points of  $R_f$ . Under this condition and with at least three Fourier exponents, our main result in this paper shows that the set of the real projections of the zeros of  $f(s)$  has no isolated point in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , which extends a known result which was previously demonstrated for the case of exponential polynomials.

**Remark 5.1.** Every result in Section 4 has been formulated for the case where the set of Fourier exponents has at least three elements and all of them are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. However, these results are also certain for other cases such as that where one of the Fourier exponents is  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and the set of the remaining Fourier exponents has at least two elements and all of them are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent. Indeed, if  $f(s)$  is an almost periodic function whose Fourier exponents  $\{0, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots\}$  satisfy these new conditions, then the function  $g(s) = f(s)e^{\mu s}$ , where  $\mu$  is chosen so that it is not in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space generated by  $\{\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots\}$ , has the same set of zeros as that of  $f(s)$  and its Fourier exponents satisfy the conditions of the results of this section.

Finally, note that for the case where the set of Fourier exponents of an almost periodic function  $f$  has only two elements (and they are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent) it is clear that the zeros of  $f(s)$  are located on a vertical line, and consequently Theorem 4.4 is not satisfied in this case.

It would be interesting to study in more detail the more general case where the sets of Fourier exponents associated with an almost periodic function  $f(s)$  are not necessarily linearly independent over the rational numbers. In particular, the consideration of other specific conditions on the Fourier exponents or coefficients could also lead to sets  $R_f$  without isolated points. In general, it is proposed the search of specific results on the possible gaps of the sets  $R_f$  and the reasons why they are produced.

**Acknowledgements.** The first author has been partially supported by MICIU of Spain under project number PGC2018-097960-B-C22.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ash, R. B.; Novinger, W. P. *Complex variables*. Academic Press, New York, 2004.
- [2] Avellar, C. E.; Hale, J. K. On the zeros of exponential polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **73** (1980), 434–452.
- [3] Besicovitch, A. S. *Almost periodic functions*. Dover, New York, 1954.
- [4] Bohr, H. Zur Theorie der fastperiodischen Funktionen. (German) III. Dirichletentwicklung analytischer Funktionen. *Acta Math.* **47** (1926), no. 3, 237–281.
- [5] Bohr, H. *Almost periodic functions*. Chelsea, New York, 1947.
- [6] Borwein, P.; Fee, G.; Ferguson, R.; van der Waall, A. Zeros of partial sums of the Riemann zeta function. *Exp. Math.* **16** (2007), no. 1, 21–39.
- [7] Dubon, E.; Mora, G.; Sepulcre, J. M.; Ubeda, J. I.; Vidal, T. A note on the real projection of the zeros of partial sums of the Riemann zeta function. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Math. RACSAM* **108** (2014), no. 2, 317–333.
- [8] Corduneanu, C. *Almost periodic functions*. Interscience publishers, New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 1968.
- [9] Corduneanu, C. *Almost periodic oscillations and waves*. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [10] Farag, H. M. Dirichlet truncations of the Riemann zeta-function in the critical strip possess zeros near every vertical line. *Int. J. Number Theory* **4** (2008), no. 4, 653–662.
- [11] Heittokangas, J.; Wen Z.-T. The asymptotic number of zeros of exponential sums in critical strips. *Monatsh. Math.* **194** (2021), no. 2, 261–273.
- [12] Jessen, B. Some aspects of the theory of almost periodic functions. In *Proc. Internat. Congress Mathematicians Amsterdam, 1954*, Vol. 1, North-Holland, 1954, pp. 304–351.
- [13] Mora, G.; Sepulcre, J. M.; Vidal, T. On the existence of exponential polynomials with prefixed gaps. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **45** (2013), no. 6, 1148–1162.
- [14] Moreno, C.J. The zeros of exponential polynomials (I), *Compos. Math.* **26** (1973), no. 1, 69–78.
- [15] Platt, D. J.; Trudgian, T. S. Zeroes of partial sums of the zeta-function, *LMS J. Comput. Math.* **19** (2016), no. 1, 37–41.
- [16] Sepulcre, J. M. On the result of invariance of the closure set of the real projections of the zeros of an important class of exponential polynomials, *J. Funct. Spaces*, vol. 2016, Article ID 3605690, 2016.
- [17] Sepulcre, J. M.; Vidal, T. A new approach to obtain points of the closure of the real parts of the zeros of the partial sums  $1 + 2^z + \dots + n^z$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . *Kybernetes* **41** (2012), 96–107.
- [18] Sepulcre, J. M.; Vidal, T. On the non-isolation of the real projections of the zeros of exponential polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **437** (2016), no. 1, 513–525.
- [19] Sepulcre, J. M.; Vidal, T. Almost periodic functions in terms of Bohr’s equivalence relation. *Ramanujan J.* **46** (2018), no. 1, 245–267; Corrigendum, *ibid*, **48** (2019), no. 3, 685–690.
- [20] Sepulcre, J. M.; Vidal, T. Bohr’s equivalence relation in the space of Besicovitch almost periodic functions. *Ramanujan J.* **49** (2019), no. 3, 625–639.
- [21] Sepulcre, J. M.; Vidal, T. A generalization of Bohr’s equivalence theorem. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **13** (2019), no. 4, 1975–1988.
- [22] Sepulcre, J. M.; Vidal, T. Sets of values of almost periodic functions, *Ramanujan J.* **56** (2021), no. 1, 87–102
- [23] Sepulcre, J. M.; Vidal, T. Bochner-type property on spaces of generalized almost periodic functions. *Mediterr. J. Math.* **17** (2021), DOI: 10.1007/s00009-020-01628-x.
- [24] Spira, R. Zeros of sections of the Zeta function II. *Math. Comp.* **22** (1968), 163–173.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF ALICANTE  
 P. O. BOX 99, 03080 ALICANTE, SPAIN  
 Email address: JM.Sepulcre@ua.es

UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE EDUCACIÓN A DISTANCIA (UNED)  
 CL. CANDALIX S/N, 03202 ELCHE  
 ALICANTE, SPAIN  
 Email address: tmvg@alu.ua.es